

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/506,547	<b>Applicant(s)</b> KAYAMA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Timothy C. Vanoy	<b>Art Unit</b> 1754	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** pending

 (1) Timothy C. Vanoy.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Mr. Sheldon Landsman, applicants' attorney.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 2 May 2007
**Time:** 12:15 pm
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

none

Prior art documents discussed:

none

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Various amendments to the specification and abstract were discussed, resulting in a mutually acceptable Examiner's Amendment which was authorized for entry by the attorney. Please see the official Examiner's Amendment for specific details.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)